UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

and

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, INC. AND SIERRA CLUB,

Intervenor-Plaintiffs,

v.

DTE ENERGY COMPANY AND DETROIT EDISON COMPANY,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:10-cv-13101-BAF-RSW

Judge Bernard A. Friedman

Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen

DEFENDANTS' CONSENT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF PAGE LIMIT FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON THE 2002 NSR REFORM RULES

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1, Defendants DTE Energy Company and Detroit Edison

Company move for an extension of the page limit for their Reply Brief in support of Defendants'

Motion for Summary Judgment Based on the 2002 NSR Reform Rules (Docket Entry No. 107).

Under Local Rule 7.1(d)(3), Defendants' page limit for this reply brief is five (5) pages.

Defendants respectfully request that this limit be extended to ten (10) pages.

Defendants have conferred with the Plaintiff, and the Plaintiff consents to the requested extension. Defendants state the extension is necessary to allow Defendants to respond more fully to issues raised by the Plaintiff in its opposition and thereby give the Court a more complete discussion of the issues of first impression presented by this motion. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully request that Defendants be allowed to submit in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment a reply brief not to exceed 10 pages in length.

A proposed Order is enclosed.

Dated: July 22, 2011

Matthew J. Lund (P48632)
Pepper Hamilton LLP
100 Renaissance Center, 36th Floor
Detroit, Michigan 48243
lundm@pepperlaw.com
(313) 393-7370

Michael J. Solo (P57092) Office of the General Counsel DTE Energy, One Energy Plaza Detroit, Michigan solom@dteenergy.com (313) 235-9512 By: /s/ George P. Sibley, III

F. William Brownell
Mark B. Bierbower
Makram B. Jaber
Hunton & Williams LLP
2200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-1701
bbrownell@hunton.com
mbierbower@hunton.com
mjaber@hunton.com
(202) 955-1500

Brent A. Rosser Hunton & Williams LLP Bank of America Plaza, Suite 3500 101 South Tryon Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28280 brosser@hunton.com (704) 378-4700

Harry M. Johnson, III George P. Sibley, III Hunton & Williams LLP 951 E. Byrd Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 pjohnson@hunton.com gsibley@hunton.com (804) 788-8200

Counsel for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 22, 2011, the foregoing **DEFENDANTS' CONSENT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF PAGE LIMIT FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON THE 2002 NSR REFORM RULES** was served electronically only on the following attorneys of record in accordance with an agreement reached among the parties:

Ellen E. Christensen U.S. Attorney's Office 211 W. Fort Street Suite 2001 Detroit, MI 48226 313-226-9100

Email: ellen.christensen@usdoj.gov

James A. Lofton
Thomas Benson
Justin A. Savage
Kristin M. Furrie
U.S. Department of Justice
Environmental and Natural Resource Div.
Ben Franklin Station
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, DC 20044
202-514-5261
Email: thomas benson@usdoi.gov

Email: thomas.benson@usdoj.gov justin.savage@usdoj.gov kristin.furrie@usdoj.gov jim.lofton@usdoj.gov

Holly Bressett
Sierra Club Environmental Law Program
85 Second St., 2nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
Phone: (415) 977-5646
Email: Holly.Bressett@sierraclub.org

Andrea S. Issod Sierra Club 85 2nd Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 415-977-5544 Email: andrea.issod@sierraclub.org

/s/ George P. Sibley, III

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	
and	
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, INC. AND SIERRA CLUB,	Civil Action No. 2:10-cv-13101-BAF-RSW
Intervenor-Plaintiffs,	Judge Bernard A. Friedman
v.	Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen
DTE ENERGY COMPANY AND DETROIT EDISON COMPANY,	
Defendants.	
ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' CONSENT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF PAGE LIMIT FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON THE 2002 NSR REFORM RULES	
Upon consideration of Defendants' Consent Motion for Extension of Page Limit for	
Reply Brief in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Based on the 2002 NSR	

Reform Rules (Docket Entry No. 118) and for good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED that

Defendants may file a reply brief in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket

It is so ORDERED.

Entry No. 107) not to exceed ten (10) pages in length.

Dated: July 22, 2011

By: ______ United States District Judge